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Learning Outcomes

1. Develop effective VHP decon cycle evolving beyond current industry practices

2. Identify requirements for validation of VHP decon under slight exhaust conditions

3. Conduct VHP validation under slight exhaust conditions

4. Establish trim valve and exhaust settings to be programmed in at the push of a button
Objective

- To establish the parameters of a VHP decon cycle that can be run during hours of normal business operation under slight room exhaust
Introduction

VHP decontamination advantages:
  • excellent material compatibility;
  • carried out at low temperature, ambient pressure;
  • results in non-toxic by-products

Four (4) phases of VHP run
  1. dehumidification
  2. conditioning
  3. decontamination
  4. aeration
Background

• A 350ft³ decon room on the perimeter of the CL3 equipped with a trim valve

• Trim valve allows small amount of VHP to exhaust
  • inward directional airflow maintained
  • VHP leak into adjacent space prevented

• PHOL strives to evolve beyond current practice
  • establish decon method that may safety be run during business hours with minimal impact to CL3 operations
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Materials

- Steris VHP 1000ARD
- Vaprox 35% H$_2$O$_2$
- Chemical Indicators (CI)
- Biological Indicators (BI) and media tubes
- Drager H$_2$O$_2$ Monitor (ppm)
- Triscale sensor
  - Enclosure temp.
  - % relative humidity
  - Vaprox [H$_2$O$_2$]
Methods

• Port VHP generator to decon room

• Inlet/return ports in room mismatched to generator fittings
  • VHP generator using 35% H₂O₂ installed inside decon room
Methods

Decon room settings were programmed as shown:
Methods

- Eight (8) BI and 8 CI were placed in ‘worst case scenario’ locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rear upper right wall (near room exhaust)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Right wall centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Front lower right wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Front wall centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Front upper left wall (near equipment for decon)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Left wall centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rear lower left wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rear wall centre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- BI - validate sterility assurance level (SAL)
- CI - demonstrate VHP distribution
- One fan was included for maximum VHP mixing
Methods

Four VHP runs were performed:

• 1\textsuperscript{st} run to develop initial parameters, test integrity of decon room for leakage, assess VHP distribution with CIs;

• runs 2 and 3 to revise cycle parameters;

• 4\textsuperscript{th} run to establish final cycle parameters
Methods

Cycle development considered complete when:

1. all cycle parameters are determined;

2. SAL validation process demonstrates a 6 log reduction of *G. stearothermophilus* spores;

3. final VHP concentration level of ≤1 ppm;

4. when the cycle is reproducible
Run 1 – Initial Cycle Parameters

- Initial parameters calculated using manufacturer’s instructions¹
- Room isolated from HVAC system
- CIs used, no [VHP] monitoring as cable was unavailable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/Phase</th>
<th>Dehumidification</th>
<th>Conditioning</th>
<th>Decontamination</th>
<th>Aeration</th>
<th>Extended Aeration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time, hh:mm</td>
<td>00:10</td>
<td>00:05</td>
<td>00:30</td>
<td>00:05</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airflow, SCFM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection Rate, g/min</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity, mg/L</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ VHP Cycle Development Guide (Steris Corp. Mentor OH)
Run 1 – Results

- Cycle started normally
- Significant leakage at CL3 door latch @ 10 min into decon phase -> run was aborted
- CIs showed adequate colour change
Run 2 – Revised Cycle Parameters

• CIs and [VHP] monitoring
• Triscale sensor placed on decon room floor next to ARD
• Room adjusted to run at slight –ve pressure
• No change in cycle parameters from run 1
Run 2 – Results

- Dehumidification phase -- easily reached 5.5 mg/L humidity, humidity target lowered from 9.6 to 6.9 mg/L for next runs
- Conditioning phase -- [VHP] = 205 ppm by end
- Decon phase -- [VHP] peaked at 800 ppm @ 22 min into phase
  - *Condensation* noted on CL3 door, [VHP] decreased and settled at 750 ppm by end of phase
- Aeration phase -- residual VHP = 1.5 ppm @ 2 hours in
- CIs well turned, CI closest to decon room exhaust was slightly less turned
Note on condensation

• Condensation of VHP has –ve consequence on material
• B.P. of H₂O is 100°C and H₂O₂ is 150°C
• H₂O will boil off before H₂O₂
• H₂O₂ will condense out in more concentrated form
Run 3 – Revised Cycle Parameters

- Dehumidification phase lowered from 9.6 to 6.9 mg/L
- Conditioning phase ↑ from 5 to 10 min; injection rate ↓ from 11 to 10 g/min
- Decon phase time ↑ from 30 to 40 min; injection rate ↓ from 3.5 to 3.2 g/min
- CIIs and [VHP] monitoring; decon room under slight –ve pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/Phase</th>
<th>Dehumidification</th>
<th>Conditioning</th>
<th>Decontamination</th>
<th>Aeration</th>
<th>Extended Aeration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time, hh:mm</td>
<td>00:10</td>
<td>00:10</td>
<td>00:40</td>
<td>00:05</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airflow, SCFM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection Rate, g/min</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity, mg/L</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Run 3 – Results

• Cycle started normally
• Conditioning phase -- [VHP] = 470 ppm by end
• Decon phase -- Condensation noted on CL3 door @ 12 min into phase, [VHP] = 940 ppm
  • Injection rate lowered to 3.0 g/min and [VHP] settled to 750 ppm
  • @24 min into phase, injection rate returned to 3.2 g/min
• Run completed with no issues.... but....
• Aeration phase -- residual VHP = 4.2 ppm @ 3 hours in
• CIs well turned
Run 4 – Final Cycle Parameters

- Conditioning phase ↓ from 10 to 8 min
- Decon injection rate ↑ from 3.2 to 3.5 g/min
- CIs, BIs and [VHP] monitoring; decon room under slight –ve pressure
- Water bath on cart placed in decon room with own BI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/Phase</th>
<th>Dehumidification</th>
<th>Conditioning</th>
<th>Decontamination</th>
<th>Aeration</th>
<th>Extended Aeration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time, hh:mm</td>
<td>00:10</td>
<td>00:08</td>
<td>00:40</td>
<td>00:05</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airflow, SCFM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection Rate, g/min</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity, mg/L</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Run 4 – Results

• Cycle proceeded w/o issue

• Decon phase-- Some condensation noted on CL3 door @ 10 min into phase, subsided throughout rest of run

• Aeration phase -- residual VHP = 1.2 ppm @ 2 hours in

• CIs well turned

• BIs collected, transferred to media vials, incubated at 55-60°C for 7 days

• No BI showed growth at 7 days
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Discussion

• SAL validation did pass with the current room set-up

• VHP generator inside decon room not consistent with the intended use of decon space

• Further runs required once correct size of inlet/return ports are installed
  • verify cycle parameters and reproducibility with typical airflow and VHP mixing
Intended set-up of decon room
Safety notes

• VHP sensors strategically installed and connected with the Building Automation System (BAS)
  • Two VHP sensors permanently installed in decon room to monitor [VHP] on the BAS throughout a run

• Triscale sensor connected to the generator to monitor real-time [VHP]

• Trim valve setting maintains inward directional airflow

• If VHP leaks outside of decon room, alarm will sound (audible and visual), alerting user to abort run
Decon mode

- Smoke pencil demonstrating inward direction airflow when room in decon mode setting

VHP sensor connected with the BAS
Conclusions

• Validation of decon technologies and procedures in containment labs is essential to ensure effective decon of infectious material

• Although successful in decon and safety, cycle parameters developed here require optimization when generator is ported to the decon room as originally designed
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